

))

IN THE HIGH COURT OF GUJARAT AT AHMEDABAD

SPECIAL CIVIL APPLICATION No 2102 of 1996
with

CIVIL APPLICATION NO. 6210 OF 1999.

For Approval and Signature:

Hon'ble MR.JUSTICE J.N.BHATT

=====

1. Whether Reporters of Local Papers may be allowed : NO
to see the judgements?

2. To be referred to the Reporter or not? : NO

3. Whether Their Lordships wish to see the fair copy : NO
of the judgement?

4. Whether this case involves a substantial question : NO
of law as to the interpretation of the Constitution
of India, 1950 of any Order made thereunder?

5. Whether it is to be circulated to the Civil Judge? : NO

JUSTICE D.V. PATEL (RETIRED)

Versus

UNION OF INDIA

Appearance:

MR ARUN H MEHTA for Petitioner
MR RJ OZA for Respondent No. 1
SERVED BY RPAD - (N) for Respondent No. 2
MS VIBHUTI J THAKAR for Respondent No. 3
MR PB MAJMUDAR for Respondent No. 4

CORAM : MR.JUSTICE J.N.BHATT

Date of decision: 16/07/1999

ORAL JUDGEMENT

Leave to delete the respondent Nos. 1 and 2 as party
respondents. Ld. Asstt. Govt. pleader Hasurkar, while

appearing for the respondent authority, stated that, the revised pension in terms of Govt. Resolution No.L-11016/08/Jus., dtd. 13.1.1999, will be paid by the respondent No.3, Accountant General of Gujarat State, after its process is fixed by the Accountant General of Maharashtra State. It is also stated by him that the pension papers are with the office of the Accountant General of the Gujarat State shall be taken up to by the Accountant General, Maharashtra State and after the revised pension is prescribed and fixed, the Accountant General Gujarat State shall pay accordingly. The learned Counsel Mr. Mehta while appearing for the petitioner, who has retired as judge of Bombay High Court, has fairly submitted that 3 months time may be given to finalise the process so as to pay the revised pension in terms of the aforesaid Govt. Resolution and to see that as and when the entitlement for revision took place the same is paid to the petitioner.

In view of the aforesaid statement, this petition stands disposed of. It is sincerely hoped that the process will be expedited and an urgent action shall be taken as assured on behalf of Respondent No.3 and 4. Rule is made absolute. Partly allowed.

In view of the above order, no order passed in C.A.No.6210/99.

sanjay.